

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

NOV 2 3 2009

Brian G. Svoboda, Esq. Perkins Coic 607 Fourteenth Street N.W. Washington, DC 20005

RE: MUR 6192

Madison County Democratic Central Committee

Dear Mr. Svoboda:

On May 14, 2009, the Federal Election Commission notified your client, Madison County Democratic Central Committee, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and the information provided by your client, on November 13, 2009, the Commission found that there is no reason to helieve that Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a), 434(a), 441a(f) or 441b(a). Accordingly, on November 13, 2009, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Shana M. Broussard, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely.

Mark Allen

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5 6 7	RESPONDENT: Madison County Democratic MUR 6192 Central Committee
8	I. GENERATION OF MATTER
9 10	This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election
11	Commission ("the Commission") by Stephen Jellen. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).
12	II. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
13	The Complaint alleges that the Madison County Democratic Central Committee
14	("MCDCC" or "the Committee"), a local committee of the Illinois Democratic Party,
15	failed to register and report to the Commission as a federal political committee during
16	calendar year 2008 despite exceeding the thresholds in the Federal Election Campaign
17	Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). Complainant alleges, based upon a review of
18	MCDCC's state disclosure reports, that the Committee exceeded the thresholds when it
19	made a \$1,000 contribution to a federal candidate and spent more than \$5,000 on
20	campaign materials that promoted federal and nonfederal candidates. The Complaint also
21	alleges that MCDCC accepted excessive and possibly prohibited corporate contributions
22	from SimmonsCooper LLC and Lakin Law Firm P.C. Finally, the Complaint asserts that
23	MCDCC accepted numerous other contributions from corporations and labor unions in
24	violation of the Act.
25	MCDCC denies any violations of the Act and seeks dismissal of the Complaint.
26	The available information indicates that MCDCC did not meet any of the Act's political
27	committee status thresholds requiring registration and reporting as a political committee.
28	Thus, MCDCC is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions

6

21

22

MUR 6192
Factual and Legal Analysis (Madison Co. Dem. Central Comm.)
Page 2

- 1 received. Accordingly, MCDCC did not receive any excessive or impermissible
- 2 corporate or labor organization contributions. Therefore, the Commission finds no
- 3 reason to believe that Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated 2 U.S.C.
- 4 §§ 433(a), 434(a), 441a(f) or 441b(a).

5 III. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

met any political committee status threshold.

A. Political Committee Status

7 MCDCC is not registered with the Commission. Citing MCDCC's state 8 disclosure reports. Complainant alleges that the Committee contributed \$1,000 to Friends 9 for Daniel Davis, a federal candidate committee, and spent over \$5,000 on "campaign 10 literature, mailings, media advertisements and web pages, as well as rallies, fundraisers 11 and voting promotion of both federal and nonfederal eandidates" during 2008. 12 Complaint at 1. Therefore, the Complaint asserts that MCDCC was required to register 13 with the Commission as a political committee. Id. at 1-2. The Act defines "political 14 committee" to include any local committee of a political party that does any of the 15 following during a calendar year: (1) makes more than \$1,000 in contributions or expenditures; (2) receives more than \$5,000 in contributions; or (3) spends more than 16 17 \$5,000 on exempt party activities. 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(C); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(c). A local 18 party committee that achieves federal political committee status by exceeding the section 19 431(4)(C) threshold must register with the Commission within ten days and begin 20 disclosing its financial activities. 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a) and 434(a): 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.1(d)

and 104.1. As set forth below, the available information does not suggest that MCDCC

MUR 6192 Factual and Legal Analysis (Madison Co. Dem. Central Comm.) Page 3

1 MCDCC acknowledges that it made a single \$1,000 contribution to Friends for 2 Daniel Davis, a federal candidate committee. MCDCC Response at 3. However, 3 MCDCC contends that this amount does not exceed the Act's threshold for political 4 committee status, and so the Committee was not required to register and file reports as a 5 federal political committee. Id. In addition, MCDCC asserts that Complainant's 6 allegation that the Committee paid for campaign materials promoting federal and 7 nonfederal candidates is vague and unsubstantiated. Id. 8 Registration as a federal political committee is required under the Act when a 9 local party committee makes more than \$1,000 in contributions or expenditures during a 10 calendar year. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(C). MCDCC disclosed a single \$1,000 contribution 11 to a federal candidate, but this contribution did not exceed the \$1,000 threshold for 2008. 12 In addition, although MCDCC's disclosure reports reflect numerous disbursements for 13 mailers, election day expenses, and county board literature during 2008, the available 14 information does not indicate that MCDCC met either the \$1,000 expenditure or \$5,000 15 exempt activity thresholds for political committee status. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(4)(C). 16 Complainant did not provide copies of any communications sponsored by MCDCC and 17 the Commission's review of other available information does not reveal any such 18 communications. Accordingly, the Commission finds no reason to believe that the 19 Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(a) or 434(a). 20 See MUR 4960 (Hillary Rodham Clinton for U.S. Senate Exploratory Committee, Inc.) 21 Statement of Reasons (speculative information absent personal knowledge is insufficient 22 to meet the threshold for "reason to believe").

I

21

2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) or 441b(a).

B. Alleged Excessive and Impermissible Contributions

2 Citing MCDCC's state disclosure reports, Complainant alleges that the 3 Committee received excessive and possibly prohibited contributions from 4 SimmonsCooper LLC ("SimmonsCooper") and Lakin Law Firm P.C ("LLF"). 5 Complaint at 2. The Complaint further notes that the Committee disclosed "contributions" 6 by many other corporations and labor unions." Id. The Committee's disclosure reports 7 reflect that MCDCC received contributions from SimmonsCooper during the calendar 8 year 2008 in the amount of \$50,800 and received two monetary contributions and an in-9 kind contribution from LLF, totaling \$10,594. Complaint Exhibit 1 and 2. The available 10 information does not suggest that the contributions made by SimmonsCooper and LLF 11 were intended for non-federal activities. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A) (the Act defines 12 "contribution" to include "anything of value made by any person for the purpose of 13 influencing any election for Federal office"). In view of the conclusion above that 14 MCDCC did not meet any of the Act's thresholds for political committee status, the 15 Committee is not subject to the Act's limitations and prohibitions on contributions received. Accordingly, the contributions that MCDCC received from Simmons Cooper, 16 17 LLF, and the various other corporations and labor organizations do not appear to be subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions. Because the available information does not 18 19 indicate that MCDCC accepted excessive or corporate contributions, the Commission 20 finds no reason to believe that Madison County Democratic Central Committee violated

¹ Illinois statute 10 ILCS 5/9-1 et. seq. (2008) permits candidates for state and local office to raise money from individuals, partnerships, and corporations without limits or restrictions on the amounts of such contributions.